



**Bill Kuykendal**

05/08/02 04:30 PM

To: Ron Myers/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Tom Pace/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc: Phil Lorang/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA  
Subject: Road Silt Draft

#4

----- Forwarded by Bill Kuykendal/RTP/USEPA/US on 05/08/02 04:31 PM -----



**Michelle\_Chang@car  
gill.com**

11/28/01 05:06 PM

To: Bill Kuykendal/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc:  
Subject: Road Silt Draft

In section 13.2.1.3 titled Predictive Emission Factor Equations (page 4 and 5 specifically) Option 1 mitigates the emission factors using the number of "wet days" in the period and Option 2 mitigates the factors using the number of "wet hours" in the period. PM10 increment modeling is determined on a 24-hour average and annual average. "Wet days" in the period would easily coincide w/ this 24 hour average. So, I would be a proponent of using Option 1 on the basis of "wet days" in the period.

Michelle Chang